



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

lease here demands a respectable liveryman — that is, one who carries on the trade in a respectable manner. Obviously a corporation may do so. A corporation has been held to have a "trading character," a "reputation in the way of its business," for a libel upon which it may recover. *South Hetton Coal Company v. North-Eastern News Association*, [1894] 1 Q. B. 133. Also a corporation may be "responsible," that is, financially sound; in this case, able to pay the rental. The decision is clearly correct and, in view of the constantly increasing use of the corporate form, in thorough accord with modern business conditions.

CORPORATIONS — STOCKHOLDERS: INDIVIDUAL LIABILITY TO CORPORATION AND CREDITORS — WHAT LAW GOVERNS. — A California statute provided that the stockholders in a domestic corporation or in a foreign corporation doing business within the state shall be liable, in certain proportions, for the corporate debts. An Arizona statute provided that a corporation formed under its laws may exempt the private property of its members from liability for corporate debts. The defendant was a stockholder in a corporation formed in Arizona to do part of its business in California, and whose articles of incorporation expressly exempted the private property of its members from liability for corporate debts. The corporation did business in California, and became insolvent. *Held*, that the defendant is liable for his proportionate share of the debts of the corporation, according to the law of California. *Thomas v. Wentworth Hotel Co.*, 110 Pac. 942 (Cal.).

The liability of stockholders is contractual, and therefore depends on the law of the place of incorporation. *Young v. Farwell*, 139 Ill. 326; *Tompkins v. Blakey*, 70 N. H. 584. See BEALE, FOREIGN CORPORATIONS, § 442. Statutes fixing the liability of stockholders are to be understood as not applying to foreign corporations unless that is their clearly expressed intent. See MORAWETZ, PRIVATE CORPORATIONS, § 874. But it is submitted that a state has no power to increase the liability of stockholders in a foreign corporation except by reincorporating it. *Risdon Iron & Locomotive Works v. Furness*, 21 T. L. R. 179. The principal case carries to an extreme the doctrine announced in a decision of the Supreme Court of the United States, in which it was held that since the corporation was formed to do business in California, and the articles of incorporation contained no express declaration as to the liability of stockholders, the incorporators must be presumed to have intended that their liability should be governed by the law of California. *Pinney v. Nelson*, 183 U. S. 144. For a criticism of that decision and a more complete discussion of the subject, see 18 HARV. L. REV. 452.

COVENANTS OF TITLE — COVENANTS AGAINST INCUMBRANCES — EASEMENTS. — In a deed of land given by the defendant to the plaintiff, the covenants of warranty were so worded that they might be taken, under the Florida statutes, to include a covenant against incumbrances. At the time of the sale a railroad maintained an open and notorious right of way across the land. The plaintiff sought to recover for breach of the covenant. *Held*, that he cannot recover. *Van Ness v. Royal Phosphate Co.*, 53 So. 381 (Fla.).

In addition to mortgages and other burdens upon the title, a covenant against incumbrances of course embraces easements. *Memmert v. McKeen*, 112 Pa. St. 315. But to determine what easements are included in the covenant, the courts have rightly looked in each case to the intention of the parties, and have not felt bound to give the written words their literal meaning, which would cover every sort of incumbrance. No case has been found which has held the presence of a public sidewalk to be a breach of the covenant. In drawing the line the courts have adopted various criteria. Some courts have felt that the words of the parties should be strictly construed. *Barlow v.*